Dr Joe Greenwood

GV205-5-SP: Measuring Public Opinion (GV205-5-SP) No. of responses = 17

University of Essex

Overall indicators

Global Index

av.=4.3
dev.=0.7

1. Dr Joe Greenwood - Teaching

av.=4.5
dev.=0.6

3. Core - Module

av.=4.2
dev.=0.7

4. Assessment

av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

5. Overall

av.=4.7
dev.=0.4

6. Your Views - Comments



av.=3.9
dev.=0.5

av.=4.2
dev.=0.9

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Dr Joe Greenwood - Teaching

1.1) The teaching was well organised, structured and clear



n=12
av.=4.4
md=4
dev.=0.5

1.2) The teacher was good at explaining things



n=12
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.5

1.3) The approach to teaching kept me interested



n=14
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.7

1.4) The teacher was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance



n=14
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.6

1.5) The teacher encouraged us to discover new aspects of the subject for ourselves, using our own research skills



n=13
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.5

3. Core - Module

3.1) The module content was intellectually stimulating

Strongly Agree



Strongly Disagree

n=15
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=0.9

3.2) The module has helped me to develop my knowledge and analytical skills



n=14
av.=4.3
md=4
dev.=0.7

3.3) The learning resources for this module have been effective



n=15
av.=4.3
md=5
dev.=0.9

4. Assessment

4.1) I understood the marking criteria in advance of undertaking my assessment

Strongly Agree



Strongly Disagree

n=14
av.=4.7
md=5
dev.=0.6

4.2) Assessed work was marked and returned within 4 weeks

Strongly Agree



Strongly Disagree

n=2
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.7
ab.=11

4.3) Feedback on my work was useful

Strongly Agree



Strongly Disagree

n=3
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0
ab.=11

5. Overall

5.1) Overall I believe the module was excellent

Strongly Agree



Strongly Disagree

n=14
av.=3.9
md=4
dev.=0.5

Profile

Subunit: Government
Responsible for modules: Dr Joe Greenwood
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) GV205-5-SP: Measuring Public Opinion

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Dr Joe Greenwood - Teaching



1.1)	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear	n=12	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.5
1.2)	The teacher was good at explaining things	n=12	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.5
1.3)	The approach to teaching kept me interested	n=14	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
1.4)	The teacher was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	n=14	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
1.5)	The teacher encouraged us to discover new aspects of the subject for ourselves, using our own research skills	n=13	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.5

3. Core - Module



3.1)	The module content was intellectually stimulating	n=15	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
3.2)	The module has helped me to develop my knowledge and analytical skills	n=14	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
3.3)	The learning resources for this module have been effective	n=15	av.=4.3	md=5.0	dev.=0.9

4. Assessment



4.1)	I understood the marking criteria in advance of undertaking my assessment	n=14	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
4.2)	Assessed work was marked and returned within 4 weeks	n=2	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.7
4.3)	Feedback on my work was useful	n=3	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0

5. Overall

5.1) Overall I believe the module was excellent

Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree

n=14 av.=3.9 md=4.0 dev.=0.5

Comments Report

6. Your Views - Comments

6.1) What did you like best about the module and why?

- interest given by teachers was high
- help was always provided
- willingness to interact with students

Interesting Content

The approachableness and dedication of teachers AP

The teaching staff were very helpful and approachable for this module and the content was interesting

That a professional from YouGov taught us. I liked the psychological approach and how people vote (week 17 - ideology)

The criteria of the assignments are clear, teachers are patient in answering questions, and course materials are well prepared. The trip was useful.

The lectures about The analysis on Variables

~~Theory~~ The module content.

Helped shape my career goals

AP

How structured everything was. It was quite easy to follow what the lecturers expected from us. I also liked how the lecturers gave us hands on experience, which made everything more interesting.

6.2) What areas of the module could be improved and why?

- labs could be improved by making them more interactive, but if students are more interested
- i feel like students were not rewarded as much as they should be.

n/a

Less Readings.

The lab sessions were too brief to cover the tasks

AP

DOING 50% & 50% IS VERY DEMANDING & CHAOTIC. I HAVE NO IDEA HOW TO DO THINGS. BETTER WOULD BE SMALLER ASSIGNMENTS OF 10-30% IMPORTANCE.

the lab modules were a bit chaotic

AP

Lectures always feel longer than they are

readings too tedious

Do Don't recommend to anyone who finds ~~[illegible]~~ Rstudio very difficult.

I would prefer if the lecturers explained the link between labs ~~and~~ material and lecture material. ~~I did not understand~~
The two sessions appeared somewhat unrelated.