

Joe Greenwood
GV101.A Introduction to Political Science - Group 9
No. of responses = 11




Overall indicators

Global Index


Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation (Scale width: 5)

Course Evaluation (Scale width: 5)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses

av.=Mean

dev.=Std. Dev.

ab.=Abstention

cf=Cumulative Frequency


Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

This survey requests your feedback on two separate aspects of your learning experience, split into three sections. The first section asks for your views on your teacher's class/seminar teaching. The second section asks for your views on the course as a whole *at this point in time*. Finally, the third section asks for some information about you, to aid analysis. Your views are valuable to your teacher and to the School. The results will be used to identify good practice and will also be used to help improve the teaching and learning experience for you and your peers.


The School reports internal survey results as the percentage of respondents who 'mostly agree' or 'definitely agree' with each statement, in comparison to the percentage of respondents who either 'mostly disagree' or 'definitely disagree' or 'neither agree nor disagree'. The percentage of respondents who 'mostly agree' or 'definitely agree' is the '% Agree' result. For example, the % Agree score means that X % of students on Y course mostly or definitely agree with the statement 'I have received helpful comments on my work'.

Please show the extent of your agreement by selecting the box that reflects your current view of your class/seminar teacher:


1.1 The teacher communicated ideas and concepts effectively.




1.2 The teacher has improved my understanding of the course content.



1.3 The teacher has made the subject interesting.



1.4 The teacher is good at involving members of the class/seminar.




1.5 Have you received feedback on your work from this teacher?




Please show the extent of your agreement by selecting the box that reflects your current view of your class/seminar teacher:


1.5b Feedback on my work has been timely (typically 3-4 weeks after submission).



1.5c I have received helpful comments on my work.




1.5d I was able to seek further clarification on feedback from the teacher where needed.



Please show the extent of your agreement by selecting the box that reflects your current view of your class/seminar teacher:

1.6 Overall, I am satisfied with the class/seminar teaching by this teacher on this course.



1.7 Please comment below on aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching you think were particularly helpful.

- Engaging the class in group activities to engage students on the topics at hand.
Helpful class questions.
- Joe explains the topics of each week in much more depth than the lecture time allows and gives great overviews at the start of each class. The questions he sends round each week stop me from just glazing over the texts and actually engage me in the reading.
- One of the best teachers ive ever had. Well structured class discussions around exam questions which gets everyone involved. Gives reading summaries so we start each lesson with a sound knowledge on the topic and is highly approachable and willing to explain confusing theories. Amazing!!!
- Summaries, more targeted questions.
- The teacher's questions helped me to go over the week's readings and really absorb the theory/content
- Very articulate
- Very engaging teacher. Simplified complex concepts
- Very good at explaining course, any concepts which I needed help with always accessible through email.
- the structure of the session, the handouts Joe is providing, the questions Joe is providing before the class, the feedback I get during the office hours
- very organised and structured, easy to approach and ask further questions whether that is in class or during office hours

1.7b Please comment below on aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching you think could be improved.

- Maybe giving a clearer cut outline of the arguments on either side but to be honest most of the time he does this anyway.
- NONE
- None
- Perhaps more in depth explanation of the topics, rather than more general class discussion.
- more group discussion, rather than individual discussion. More focus on exam style questions - for example, it would be really helpful to have an example of a past essay title from that topic and discuss how to approach it (doesn't need to be long, but just 10 minutes or so)
- nothing

Thank you - Part 1 Complete


Thank you for completing the teacher evaluation of the class/seminar survey.

The next part of the survey asks for your views on the course as a whole. It is not restricted to this particular teacher, but to the entire experience you have had on this course to date.


Course Evaluation

Please show the extent of your agreement by selecting the box that reflects your current view of your experience on this course to date:


2.1 This course has challenged me to achieve my best work.




2.2 This course is intellectually stimulating.



2.3 I have received sufficient advice and guidance in relation to this course to date.



2.4 I am satisfied with the amount of contact time with teachers for this course.




2.4b Please comment below about why contact time with teachers has been less than satisfactory.

■ Not enough time


■ Only one hour to cover all the reading and material assigned to students is simply not enough.
no real time develop writing and analysis skills.

2.5 I have been able to contact staff about this course when I needed to.




Please show the extent of your agreement by selecting the box that reflects your current view of your experience on this course to date:


2.6 The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance.



2.7 Marking and assessment has been fair.




2.8 The feedback I received has helped my learning and performance on the course.




2.9 Do you have a reading list for this course?




2.9b The reading list(s) was useful for my learning.



2.10 I found Moodle useful for my studies on this course.




2.11 The course material provided was useful for my studies and learning.




2.12 Do you have lectures for this course?



2.12b I am satisfied with the integration of classes/ seminars with lectures on this course.



2.13 Overall, I am satisfied with my experience on this course.



2.14 Would you recommend this course to other students?



2.15 Please comment below on aspects of this course you think were particularly good.

- Breadth
- Classes; content covered in lent term.
- Materials and teaching of a high standard.
- Regression understanding was necessary for most of the readings and should definitely continue that so that the readings make sense. The country questions also make it more relevant and interesting.
- lectures, classes with Joe


2.15b Please comment below on aspects of this course you think could be improved.

- Be explicit about the biases of the course and lecturer- keen supporter of liberal democracy, course has a liberal bias. Some studies are slightly outdated- neoliberal/ economic determinist bias in some papers in the reading list. Course is great, but these biases should be stated explicitly, rather than try and avoid it/hide it.
- Marking criteria could be made more clear in advance, along with sample essays.
- Michaelmas term content a little conceptual
- More intellectually stimulating/challenging.
Less time on intuitive concepts. Just brief summary
- Potentially too many topics covered sometimes feel like depth is sacrificed for breadth
- Showing students according to what objective criteria their essays will be graded by as well as successful examples would be a good start.
- Teaching, feedback and marking inconsistent. Particularly, marking criteria is not clear and does not seem to be consistent.
- The course is too broad for my liking. I feel as though I vaguely know a bit about many topics, but not much in detail about anything. Would be better if we focused on fewer topics but spent a few weeks on each
- the indication what the further readings are for could be better, i.e. how they relate to the content we are covering in the essential


readings,

Your Information


3.1 Which of the reasons given below describe why you are taking the course? *(Please select all that apply)*




3.1b Was this your 1st, 2nd, 3rd or other choice?




3.1c In relation to what you wanted to study, how important was choosing this course to you?



3.2 How many hours of independent study do you put into this course each week outside of lectures, classes, and seminars?



3.3 Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars.



Profile

Subunit: GV - Government


Name of the instructor: Joe Greenwood

Name of the course: GV101.A Introduction to Political Science - Group 9 (GV101.A-G-G9-GREENWOOD-LT19)
(Name of the survey)


Comparative line: Government - Class - LT2019

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation



Course Evaluation



2.13 Overall, I am satisfied with my experience on this course.

Definitely Agree



Definitely Disagree

n=11
n=1058

av.=3.5
av.=4.2

Your Information

3.1c In relation to what you wanted to study, how important was choosing this course to you?

Very Important



Not at all Important

n=3
n=537

av.=3.7
av.=3.9

3.3 Please rate your own contribution to classes/seminars.

Very Good



Poor

n=11
n=1040

av.=3.8
av.=3.7